Quantum Dynamics of the Duffing Model for Qubit Readout

Alec Maassen van den Brink, Shu-Hao Yeh, and Dong-Bang Tsai RCAS, Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan

- Introduction: Numerical propagator method for driven systems
- Application to Duffing model of qubit readout
- Results
- Spectral analysis
- Conclusions

Numerical propagator method for driven systems

• Example:



- Transport of Cooper pair through entire device only changes state of voltage source behind the scenes ⇒
- Symmetry which does not conserve energy, but changes it by $\pm 2eV$
- Numerical diagonalization of H produces corresponding multiplets $E_k^{(n)} = E_k + 2eVn$: huge redundancy is drain on resources
- Equivalent: eliminate one d.o.f. at price of driving term with period $T \equiv 2\pi\hbar/2eV$. Makes connection to wide class of systems with AC-driving or more generally time-periodic Hamiltonian:

$$i\hbar\dot{\Psi}(t) = H(t)\Psi(t)$$
, $H(t+T) = H(t)$

- Almost universal: Floquet method—Fourier expansion of H(t), $\Psi(t)$. Determination of *quasi-energies* ϵ_k in $\Psi_k(t) = u_k(t) \exp(-i\epsilon_k t/\hbar)$, u(t+T) = u(t) becomes eigenproblem in *extended Hilbert space*
- Fourier index is as extra d.o.f. Merely reversed previous elimination, so still problems with non-uniqueness and resource use
- Finally cracked with numerical propagator method: integrate matrix Schrödinger eqn

$$i\hbar \partial_t U(t) = H(t)U(t), \qquad U(0) = 1$$

and diagonalize U(T)

- All energies in one multiplet get mapped to single phase eigenvalue $\alpha_k = \exp(-i\epsilon_k^{(n)}T/\hbar)$ of unitary operator!
- Fully tested and confirmed on 1-qubit NMR problem (Rabi oscillations), where one can compare with analytic soln. N.B.: need to integrate only over short *driving* period, and still find oscillations on much longer *Rabi* period!

Duffing model

 Apply these ideas to *Duffing model*: oscillator with weak nonlinearity, damping, and near-resonant driving,

$$\ddot{x} + \omega_0^2 x = -\epsilon [\gamma \dot{x} + \alpha x^3 + f \cos(\omega t)]$$

Classical bistability makes it interesting qubit detector

- Already relevant in experiments: superconducting implementation is called *Josephson bifurcation amplifier*. Since both final states are superconducting, one can e.g. try to perform repeated quantum nondemolition (QND) measurements on a qubit system [A. Lupaşcu *et al.*, *Nature Physics* 3, 119 (2007)]
- In quantum domain, bistability should be imperfect due to *tunneling* between the two limit cycles (limiting detector performance)
- Instead of Hamiltonian acting on wave function: Liouville superoperator acting on density matrix (quantum master equation)

$$\begin{split} i\hbar\dot{\rho} &= [H_S(t),\rho] + i\hbar\frac{\epsilon\gamma}{2}(\bar{n}+1)(2a\rho a^{\dagger} - a^{\dagger}a\rho - \rho a^{\dagger}a) \\ &+ i\hbar\frac{\epsilon\gamma}{2}\bar{n}(2a^{\dagger}\rho a - aa^{\dagger}\rho - \rho aa^{\dagger}) \\ &\equiv \mathcal{L}(t)\{\rho\} , \\ H_S(t) &= \hbar\omega_0 a^{\dagger}a + \epsilon m \left[\frac{\alpha}{4}x^4 + fx\cos(\omega t)\right] , \\ &x = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega_0}}(a + a^{\dagger}) , \qquad \bar{n} = \frac{1}{e^{\hbar\omega_0/k_BT} - 1} \end{split}$$

- For analogy with undamped time-periodic systems, can now study evolution superoperator $\mathcal{S}(t=2\pi/\omega)$
- But Markov treatment of damping suspect on short time scale ω^{-1} ; only need dynamics on long scale $(\epsilon \gamma)^{-1}$

- Extract slow dynamics through *rotating-wave approximation* (RWA),
 - $$\begin{split} \tilde{\rho}(t) &\equiv U(t)\rho(t)U^{\dagger}(t) , \qquad U(t) = e^{-i\omega Nt} , \qquad N = a^{\dagger}a \\ i\frac{d\tilde{\rho}}{d\tau} &= [\tilde{H}_S, \tilde{\rho}] + \frac{i}{2}(\bar{n}+1)(2a\tilde{\rho}a^{\dagger} a^{\dagger}a\tilde{\rho} \tilde{\rho}a^{\dagger}a) + \frac{i}{2}\bar{n}(2a^{\dagger}\tilde{\rho}a aa^{\dagger}\tilde{\rho} \tilde{\rho}aa^{\dagger}) \\ &\equiv \tilde{\mathcal{L}}\{\tilde{\rho}\} , \end{split}$$

$$\tilde{H}_S = -\frac{\Omega'}{2}N + \frac{f'}{2\sqrt{2}}(a+a^{\dagger}) + \frac{3\alpha'}{8f'^2}(N^2+N)$$

Rescaled variables:

$$\Omega' = \frac{\Omega}{\omega_0 \gamma}, \qquad \Omega = (\omega^2 - \omega_0^2)/\epsilon, \qquad \alpha' = \frac{\alpha f^2}{\omega_0^3 \gamma^3},$$
$$\tau = \epsilon \gamma t, \qquad f' = \frac{f}{\gamma} \sqrt{\frac{m}{\hbar \omega_0}}$$

- Parallels canonical transform to "Van der Pol coordinates" in classical case; f' only "quantum" parameter
- Fast scale $\sim \omega^{-1}$ eliminated from problem







Spectral analysis

- In coarse-grained RWA approach, revisit idea from time-periodic case: diagonalize $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}!$
- Unique eigenvalue $\tilde{\lambda}_1 = 0$, with hermitian, normalizable eigen- $\tilde{\rho}_1$: stationary state
- Unique 2nd-smallest $\tilde{\lambda}_2$, with hermitian, traceless $\tilde{\rho}_2$
- $\tilde{\rho}_2$ has same population peaks as $\tilde{\rho}_1$, but with *opposite signs* causing equilibration
- $\operatorname{Re} \tilde{\lambda}_2 = 0 \Rightarrow incoherent$ tunneling
- Im $\tilde{\lambda}_2 < 0 \Rightarrow$ stability
- $|\tilde{\lambda}_2| \ll |\operatorname{Im} \tilde{\lambda}_{k\geq 3}| \Rightarrow$ separation of time scales: $\tilde{\rho}_{1,2}$ suffice for late times, many $\tilde{\rho}$'s needed for initial state

Conclusions

- For same parameters as the classical problem, bistability disappears in quantum case due to *tunneling* ...
- ... opening up *third*, ultra-long, time scale $(\epsilon \gamma |\tilde{\lambda}_2|)^{-1}$ with no classical counterpart
- Error process for qubit readout: if final state independent of initial conditions, no detection took place
- Thus, to observe counterpart to classical bistability, must go beyond stationary averages—either *full distributions* or *dynamic evolution*
- Spectral approach cleanly isolates tunneling from intermediate-time dynamics; enables study of classical limit $f'\uparrow$
- Try to make analytical sense, e.g. in coherent-state representation