### Electronic and optical properties of carbon nanotubes : A first-principles study

#### T. C. Leung, T. H. Cho, W. S. Su

Department of Physics, National Chung Cheng University, Chia-Yi, Taiwan, R. O. C.

#### Wei Ren and C.T. Chan

Physics Department, Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Hong Kong, China



國立中正大學物理學系 Department of Physics National Chung Cheng University





**The Electronic and Optical Properties of SWCNTs** 

The Effect of the External Electric Field on the Electronic and Optical Properties of SWCNTs

The Effect of the Intertube Interaction on the Electronic and Optical Properties of SWCNT Bundles

### • Single Walled Carbon Nanotubes (SWCNTs)



#### nonchiral nanotube

(n,n) armchair ; (n,0) zigzagchiral nanotube otherwise



$$\vec{C}_h = n\vec{a}_1 + m\vec{a}_2 \equiv (n,m)$$

 $0 \le m \le n$ 

( chiral vector )

#### Armchair tube (10,10)





### **Zone Folding Method**

 $\overline{T} \cdot \overline{K_1} = 0$  $\overline{C_h} \cdot \overline{K_1} = 2\pi$  $\overline{T} \cdot \overline{K_2} = 2\pi$  $\overline{C_{\mu}} \cdot \overline{K_{2}} = 0$  $\psi(\vec{r} + \vec{C_h}) = \psi(\vec{r}) \implies \exp(i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{C_h}) = 1$  $\vec{k} = x_1 \overline{K_1} + x_2 \overline{K_2} \implies \vec{k} \cdot \vec{C}_n = x_1 \overline{K_1} \cdot \overline{C_h} + x_2 \overline{K_2} \cdot \overline{C_h} = 2\pi q$  $\vec{k} = q \,\overline{K_1} + x_2 \,\frac{K_2}{\left|\overline{K_2}\right|}$  $K_1 = 2\pi / D$  $-\frac{\pi}{T} < x_2 < \frac{\pi}{T}$  $q=0,1,2\cdots,N-1$ 













#### **Band Structure of armchair tube (ZF vs real LDA calculation)**



#### **Band Structure of armchair tube (ZF vs real LDA calculation)**



#### **Band Structure of zigzag tube ( ZF vs real LDA calculation)**



#### **Band Structure of zigzag tube ( ZF vs real LDA calculation)**



### **Optical Properties of Graphene and SWCNTs**



#### **Band structure and optical properties of Graphene**

E//a

E//c

15



#### **Armchair Tubes**



Van Hove singularity appears in the joint density of states and the matrix elements between the corresponding valence and conduction states have a nonzero value, a corresponding peak will show up in the dielectric function spectrum.  $ransition E_{\mu\mu}$  transition

# The band structures, matrix elements, and the spectrum for (10,10) carbon nanotube









 $\epsilon_2$  at zz direction



#### **Zigzag Tubes**



# The band structures, matrix elements, and the <sub>2</sub> spectrum for (10,0) carbon nanotube



 $\epsilon_2$  at zz direction



### **Electronic and Optical Properties of SWCNTs under External Transverse Electric Field**

#### **Band Structure of (12,12) SWCNT under Different External Field**



Wei Ren, T. H. Cho, T. C. Leung, and C. T. Chan Appl. Phys. Lett. 93, 142102 (2008)

# The imaginary part of the dielectric function of armchair nanotubes under different external field



T. H. Cho, W. S. Su, T. C. Leung, Wei Ren, and C. T. Chan Phys. Rev. B 79, 235123 (2009)

# The band structures, matrix elements, and the <sub>2</sub> spectrum for (10,10) carbon nanotube under different external field





The high sensitivity of the optical spectrum to an applied field make it a plausible material for optoelectronic applications.

### Zigzag SWCNTs under External Transverse Electric Field

#### **Band Structure of (10,0) SWCNT under Different External Field**



The gap is reduced significantly; however, the effect of the electric field on the dielectric function is less pronounced.



#### The imaginary part of the dielectric function of zigzag nanotubes under different external field



FIG. 8: (Color online) The imaginary part of the dielectric function of zigzag nanotubes for light polarized parallel (left panel) and perpendicular (right panel) to the nanotubes axis under external electric field  $\vec{E}$  of 0.0, 0.1, and 0.2 V/Å.

### **Electronic and Optical Properties of SWCNT Bundles**



Schematic of the nanotubes in (a) (3,3) bundle with rotation 0°, (b) the (3,3) bundle with rotation 20°, (c) (6,0) bundle with rotation 0°, and (d) (6,0) bundle with rotation 15°.



### The tubes are arranged in a close-packing array

# The total energy *vs* tube-tube distance with different rotational angles for the (3,3), (4,4), (5,5), and (6,6) bundles



The total energy show strong dependence on the orientation for the n = 3q (n,n) bundles, while those of the  $n \neq 3q$  bundles are nearly independent of such orientation.

#### Total energies vs. the rotational angle for the (3,3), (4,4), (5,5) and (6,6) bundles



The total energy show strong dependence on the orientation for the n = 3q (n,n) bundles, while those of the  $n \neq 3q$  bundles are nearly independent of such orientation.





# The band structure along the k<sub>z</sub> direction for different k<sub>n</sub> points of the (3,3) bundles with rotational angle 0°

![](_page_40_Figure_1.jpeg)

# The band structure along the k<sub>z</sub> direction for different k<sub>n</sub> points of the (3,3) bundles with rotational angle 20°

![](_page_41_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Figure_0.jpeg)

### **Zigzag SWCNT Bundles**

#### Total energies vs. the rotational angle for the (5,0), (6,0), (9,0) and (10,0) bundles

![](_page_44_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### The band structure of the (5,0), (6,0), (9,0), and (10,0) bundles for the different orientations

![](_page_45_Figure_1.jpeg)

# The spectrum of the isolated and bundled (5,0), (6,0), (9,0) and (10,0) SWCNTs for different rotational angles

![](_page_46_Figure_1.jpeg)

## **Bundled CNT (6,0)**

# The band structure along the k<sub>z</sub> direction for different k<sub>n</sub> points of the (6,0) bundles with rotational angle 0°

![](_page_48_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Figure_0.jpeg)

## **Bundled CNT (9,0)**

![](_page_51_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Figure_1.jpeg)

## **Bundled CNT (10,0)**

#### Bundled CNT (10,0) Kpt-1 ~ Kpt-24

![](_page_54_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Figure_10.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Figure_11.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Figure_12.jpeg)

- kp8

![](_page_54_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Figure_14.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Figure_15.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Figure_16.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Figure_17.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Figure_18.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Figure_19.jpeg)

![](_page_55_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### Bundled CNT (10,0)

![](_page_56_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_57_Figure_0.jpeg)

## Summary

1. The armchair tube remains metallic under external electric field, but the dielectric function are modified significantly owing to the subband mixing caused by the external field.

2. In the case of zigzag tubes, the gap is reduced significantly ; however, the effect of the electric field on the dielectric function is less pronounced.

3. The total energy and electronic dispersion show strong dependence on the orientation of the tube in the bundles for the n = 3q (n,n) and (n,0) bundles, while those of the  $n \neq 3q$  bundles are nearly independent of such orientation.

4. The optical properties are strongly influence by the orientation of the tube in the bundles.

5. For (n,n) bundle a  $\delta$ -type peak at about 0.1 eV appears for orientation such that the D<sub>6h</sub> symmetry is broken.

6. For the case of the (5,0) and (6,0) bundles, the -type peak appears at about 0.1 eV due to a pseudogap opening at the Fermi level.

7. The optical spectrum of the (9,0) bundle is also similar to that of the isolated (9,0) tube.

8. The gap of the (10,0) bundles is reduced significantly by the bundling effect, but the modification of the optical spectrum was found to be less pronounced.

## Thanks

#### Bundled CNT (9,0) Kpt-1 ~ Kpt-19

![](_page_61_Figure_1.jpeg)