On Nonlocality and Incompatibility breaking channel Swati Kumari

Deaprtment of Physics and QFort, NCKU, Taiwan

Collaborators: Sibashish Ghosh, Javid Naikoo and Alok Pan

- Quantum channel, Nonlocality breaking and Incompatibility breaking channel (IBC)
- CHSH nonlocality breaking and 2-IBC
- Nonlocality breaking and 2-IBC in tripartite scenario
- > 2-IBC's in bi-locality, n-locality and n-star network scenario

Quantum Channel

▶ Quantum Channel: A quantum channel (QC) (CPTP) map $\mathcal{E} : \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{A}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{B}}),$



$$\rho' = \mathcal{E}(\rho) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} K_j \rho K_j^{\dagger}$$

where K_i satisfies $\sum_j K_j^{\dagger} K_j = \mathbb{I}$.

In Heisenberg picture, the corresponding description is given in terms of dual channel \mathcal{E}^* acting on operators

$$Tr[\mathcal{E}(\rho)A] = Tr[\rho\mathcal{E}^*(A)]; \quad \forall \rho, A \tag{1}$$

Nonlocality and Incompatibility: The Bell operator associated with the CHSH inequality has the form

$$\mathcal{B}=A_1\otimes (B_1+B_2)+A_2\otimes (B_1-B_2),$$

Then the Bell-CHSH inequality, for any state ρ is

$$Tr[\rho \mathcal{B}(A_1, A_2, B_1, B_2)] \le 2.$$
 (2)

The violation of above inequality (2) is sufficient to justify the non-locality of quantum state. However incompatible observables acting on entangled particles enable the nonlocality. Thus, incompatibility is the necessary to lead the violation of CHSH inequality.

```
M. Wolf et.al., PRL, 103, 230402 (2009).
```

Definition

Any channel $\mathcal{E} : \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}) \to \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is said to be *NBC* if applying on one side of (arbitrary) bipartite state ρ_{AB} , it produces a state $\rho'_{AB} = (\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathbb{I})(\rho_{AB})$ which satisfies the Bell-CHSH inequality (2).

A unital channel is particularly important as it breaks the non-locality for any state, when it breaks for maximally entangled states.

R. Pal and S. Ghosh, J. Phys. A, 48, 155302 (2015).

Incompatibility Breaking Channel

Definition

Any channel $\mathcal{E} : \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}) \to \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is said to be *IBC* if after mapping $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{A})$ is compatible, for incompatible subset \mathcal{A} of given observables.

If a channel \mathcal{E} breaks the incompatibility of every class of *n* observables, it is said to be n - IBC, 2 - IBC's is the channel which breaks the incompatibility for pairs.



T. Heinosaari et.al., J. Phys. A, 48, 435301 (2015).

CHSH nonlocality and Incompatibility Breaking Channel

Theorem

If $(\mathcal{E}\otimes\mathbb{I})$ is 2-IBC , then $(\mathcal{E}^*\otimes\mathbb{I})$ is CHSH non-locality breaking.

Proof.

Let $(\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathbb{I})$ be 2-*IBC*, then by the application of channel on Alice side incompatible measurements, say A_1 and A_2 becomes compatible, if the measurement on Bob side is B_1 and B_2 , then we can write

 $Tr[\rho \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}(A_1), \mathcal{E}(A_2), B_1, B_2)] \leq 2; \quad \forall \rho, A_1, A_2, B_1, B_2$

- $\Rightarrow Tr[\rho \mathcal{E}(A_1) \otimes B_1] + Tr[\rho \mathcal{E}(A_2) \otimes B_1]$ $+ Tr[\rho \mathcal{E}(A_1) \otimes B_2] - Tr[\rho \mathcal{E}(A_2) \otimes B_2] \le 2$
- $\Rightarrow \quad Tr[\mathcal{E}^*(\rho)A_1 \otimes B_1] + Tr[\mathcal{E}^*(\rho)A_2 \otimes B_1] \\ + Tr[\mathcal{E}^*(\rho)A_1 \otimes B_2] Tr[\mathcal{E}^*(\rho)A_2 \otimes B_2] \le 2$

 $\Rightarrow (\mathcal{E}^* \otimes \mathbb{I}) \text{ is CHSH non-locality breaking}.$

CHSH nonlocality and Incompatibility Breaking Channel

Theorem

If $(\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathbb{I})$ is CHSH non-locality breaking, then $(\mathcal{E}^* \otimes \mathbb{I})$ is 2-IBC, provided the channel is unital.

Proof.

Let $(\mathcal{E}\otimes\mathbb{I})$ be CHSH non-locality breaking, then

$$Tr[\mathcal{E}(\rho)\mathcal{B}(A_1, A_2, B_1, B_2)] \leq 2; \quad \forall \rho, A_1, A_2, B_1, B_2$$

$$\Rightarrow Tr[\rho \mathcal{E}^*(A_1) \otimes B_1] + Tr[\rho \mathcal{E}^*(A_1) \otimes B_2]$$

$$+ Tr[\rho \mathcal{E}^*(A_2) \otimes B_1] - Tr[\rho \mathcal{E}^*(A_2) \otimes B_2] \leq 2$$

 $\Rightarrow \mathcal{E}^*(A_1)$ and $\mathcal{E}^*(A_2)$ is compatible Hence, \mathcal{E}^* is 2-*IBC*, where \mathcal{E}^* is unital, i.e., $\mathcal{E}^*(\mathbb{I}) = \mathbb{I}$.

The Svetlichny inequality and the maximal violation The violation of Svetlitchny inequality shows the genuine tripatite non-locality, hereby, we consider the Svetlichly operator S is given by,

$$S = A \otimes [(B + B') \otimes C + (B - B') \otimes C'] + A' \otimes [(B - B') \otimes C - (B + B') \otimes C']$$

where A, A', B, B', C, C' are observables of the form $G = \vec{g}.\vec{\sigma} = \sum_k g_k \sigma_k$, $G \in (A, A', B, B', C, C')$ and

Nonlocality breaking channel in tripartite scenario

- $g \in (\vec{a}, \vec{a'}, \vec{b}, \vec{b'}, \vec{c}, \vec{c'}), \sigma_k (k = 1, 2, 3)$ are the Pauli matrices, $\vec{\sigma} = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3), \vec{g} = (g_1, g_2, g_3)$ is a three dimensional real unit vectors.
- For any three qubit state $|\psi\rangle$, admitting bi-LHV model, the mean value of the Svelitchny operator is bounded as,

$$|\langle \psi | S | \psi \rangle| \le 4 \tag{3}$$

Definition:3 For any three qubit quantum state ρ , the maximum quantum value $\langle S \rangle_{\rho}$ of the Svetlichny operator S is bounded as

$$|\langle S \rangle|_{
ho} \le 4\lambda_1$$
 (4)

where $\langle S \rangle_{\rho} = Tr[S\rho]$ and λ_1 is the maximum singular value of the matrix $M = (M_{j,ik})$, with $M = (M_{ijk} = Tr[\rho(\sigma_i \otimes \sigma_j \otimes \sigma_k)]$, i, j, k = 1, 2, 3.

M. Li et.al., PRA 96, 042323 (2017).

Conditions of violation of Svetlichny inequality

Example 1. The generalized GHZ state $|\psi\rangle = \alpha|000\rangle + \beta|111\rangle$, where α and β are real with $\alpha^2 + \beta^2 = 1$; can be stated in the form of Pauli matrices

$$\rho_{g} = \frac{1}{8} [I \otimes I \otimes I + I \otimes \sigma_{3} \otimes \sigma_{3} + \sigma_{3} \otimes I \otimes \sigma_{3} + \sigma_{3} \otimes \sigma_{3} \otimes I \otimes \sigma_{3} + \sigma_{3} \otimes \sigma_{3} \otimes I \otimes I + I \otimes \sigma_{3} \otimes \sigma_{3} \otimes I \otimes I + I \otimes \sigma_{3} \otimes \sigma_{3} \otimes \sigma_{3} \otimes \sigma_{3}) + \alpha \beta (\sigma_{1} \otimes \sigma_{1} \otimes \sigma_{1} - \sigma_{1} \otimes \sigma_{2} \otimes \sigma_{2} \otimes \sigma_{2} \otimes \sigma_{1} \otimes \sigma_{2} - \sigma_{2} \otimes \sigma_{2} \otimes \sigma_{1})]$$

From Def(3), we can obtain the matrix M_1 is given by

with two equal singular values $\lambda_1 = 2\alpha\beta\sqrt{2}$, $\lambda_2 = 2\alpha\beta\sqrt{2}$ and $\lambda_3 = \alpha^2 - \beta^2$ respectively. The maximum of Svelitchny operator for the GGHZ state is given by

$$\langle S \rangle_{
ho_g} = 8\sqrt{2}\alpha\beta$$

Thus the violation of Svetlinchy's inequality for GGHZ state is valid for

$$\alpha\beta > \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \tag{5}$$

The maximum violation (4 $\sqrt{2}$) is obtained for GHZ state ($lpha=eta=1/\sqrt{2}$) .

Example 2. The Maximally Slice state $|\psi\rangle = 1/\sqrt{2}|000\rangle + |11(\alpha|0\rangle + \beta|1\rangle)$, where α and β are real with $\alpha^2 + \beta^2 = 1$; can be stated in the form of Pauli matrices

$$\rho_{s} = \frac{1}{8} \left[I \otimes I \otimes I + \frac{(1 + \alpha^{2} - \beta^{2})}{2} I \otimes I \otimes \sigma_{3} + \frac{(1 - \alpha^{2} + \beta^{2})}{2} \sigma_{3} \otimes I \otimes \sigma_{3} + \frac{(1 - \alpha^{2} + \beta^{2})}{2} \sigma_{3} \otimes I \otimes \sigma_{3} + \sigma_{3} \otimes \sigma_{3} \otimes I + \frac{(1 + \alpha^{2} - \beta^{2})}{2} \sigma_{3} \otimes \sigma_{3} \otimes \sigma_{3} + \alpha(\sigma_{1} \otimes \sigma_{1} \otimes I + \sigma_{1} \otimes \sigma_{1} \otimes \sigma_{3} - \sigma_{2} \otimes \sigma_{2} \otimes I + \sigma_{2} \otimes \sigma_{2} \otimes \sigma_{3}) + \beta(\sigma_{1} \otimes \sigma_{1} \otimes \sigma_{1} - \sigma_{1} \otimes \sigma_{2} \otimes \sigma_{2} \otimes \sigma_{1}) + \beta(\sigma_{1} \otimes \sigma_{1} \otimes \sigma_{1} - \sigma_{1} \otimes \sigma_{2} \otimes \sigma_{2} \otimes \sigma_{1}) + \alpha\beta(I \otimes I \otimes \sigma_{1} + \sigma_{3} \otimes \sigma_{3} \otimes \sigma_{1}) \right]$$

From Def(3), we can obtain the matrix M_2 is given by

$$M_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \beta & 0 & \alpha & 0 & -\beta & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\beta & 0 & -\beta & 0 & -\alpha & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha\beta & 0 & \frac{1+\alpha^2-\beta^2}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

with $\lambda_1 = \sqrt{\frac{1}{4}(\alpha^2 - \beta^2 + 1)^2 + (\alpha\beta)^2}$ and two equal singular values $\lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = \sqrt{(\alpha^2 + 2\beta^2)}$ respectively.

$$\langle S \rangle_{
ho_s} = 4 \sqrt{(lpha^2 + 2eta^2)}$$

Thus the violation of Svetlinchy's inequality for maximally sliced state is valid for

$$\sqrt{(\alpha^2 + 2\beta^2) > 1} \tag{6}$$

We get the maximum violation of Svetlinchy's inequality for maximally sliced state ($\alpha = 0$ and $\beta = 1$) to be $4\sqrt{2}$. Note that for the values of $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta = 1$, maximally sliced state reduces to the GHZ state.

Example 3. Consider the quantum state given by

$$\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}(\rho) = \rho |GHZ\rangle \langle GHZ| + (1-\rho)I_2 \otimes \overline{I}$$

 $0 \le p \le 1$; I_2 stands for 2×2 identity matrix and $\overline{I} = diag(1, 0, 0, 1)$. From Def(3), matrix M_3 is given by

Maximum quantum value of the state can be obtained as $\langle S \rangle_{\sigma_A} = 4\sqrt{2}p$.

NONLOCALITY BREAKING CONDITION: For any three qubit state ρ_{ABC} , given channel \mathcal{E} is said to be genuine *non-locality breaking* if acting on qubit it gives a state $\rho'_{ABC} = (\mathcal{E} \otimes I \otimes I)(\rho_{ABC})$, which satisfies the Svetlichny inequality, $\langle S \rangle_{\rho'_{ABC}} \leq 4$.

Similarly, the nonlocality breaking condition can be obtained as,

Generalised GHZ state,
$$\eta \leq rac{1}{2\sqrt{2}lphaeta}$$
 ,

Maximally slice state,
$$\eta \leq rac{1}{\sqrt{lpha^2+2eta^2}}$$
 and

General mixed state, $\eta \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}p}$ respectively.

State	Svelitchny violation (Nonlocality witnessing condition)	Nonlocality breaking condition	Incompatibility breaking condition
GGHz state	$\alpha\beta > \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}$	$\eta \geq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}\alpha\beta}$	$\eta \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$
Max. Sliced State	$\sqrt{\alpha^2 + 2\beta^2} > 1$	$\eta \in \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha^2 + 2\beta^2}}$	$\eta \! < \! \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$
Modified GHZ state	$p > \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$	$\eta \in \frac{1}{p\sqrt{2}}$	$\eta \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$

Bilocality scenario

No-Signaling and Independence(NSI): Branciard et.al., PRL,2010.



Independent locality(bilocality): $P(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = P(\lambda_1).P(\lambda_2)$

 $P(ABC|xyz) = \int d\lambda_1 d\lambda_2 q(\lambda_1) q(\lambda_2) P(A|x,\lambda_1) P(B|y,\lambda_1,\lambda_2) P(C|z,\lambda_2)$ $\sqrt{|I|} + \sqrt{|J|} < 2$

where, I = (A0 + A1)B0(C0 + C1); J = (A0 - A1)B0(C0 - C1).

Bilocality scenario



If ρ violates CHSH then $\rho\otimes\rho$ violates bilocality inequality.

- (
 ho
 ightarrow all pure entangled state).
- N. Gisin, PRA,96,020304(R) (2017).

Hence, 2-IBC \Leftrightarrow non-bilocality breaking. (($\mathcal{E}_A \otimes \mathbb{I}$) and ($\mathbb{I} \otimes \mathcal{E}_C$) are CHSH non-locality breaking then ($\mathcal{E}_A^* \otimes \mathbb{I}$) and ($\mathbb{I} \otimes \mathcal{E}_C^*$) are 2-IBC and vice versa.)

Definition

A channel $(\mathcal{E}_A \otimes \mathbb{I} \otimes \mathbb{I} \otimes \mathcal{E}_c)$ is said to be non-bilocality breaking if after application of the channel $\rho' \otimes \rho'$ satifies the bilocality inequality.

Theorem

If $(\mathcal{E}_A \otimes \mathbb{I} \otimes \mathbb{I} \otimes \mathcal{E}_c)$ is non-bilocality breaking then $(\mathcal{E}_A^* \otimes \mathbb{I})$ and $(\mathbb{I} \otimes \mathcal{E}_A^*)$ are 2-IBC.

Theorem

If $(\mathcal{E}_A \otimes \mathbb{I})$ and $(\mathbb{I} \otimes \mathcal{E}_A)$ are 2-IBC, then $(\mathcal{E}_A^* \otimes \mathbb{I} \otimes \mathbb{I} \otimes \mathcal{E}_c^*)$ is non-bilocality breaking.

Hence, non-bilocality breaking channels are 2-IBC.

n-star shaped network scenario



Here, we can also show that n-2-IBC are equivalent to non-n-locality breaking channel.

- We show an equivalency relation between CHSH nonlocality and incompatibility breaking channel.
- We extend this study in tripartite scenario using Svetchlichny inequality studied in some well known states.
- We found that, within certain range of channel and state parameter incompatibility assures nonlocality for generalized GHZ and maximally slice state.

Thank You